The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 47

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

MAR 13 2002)

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE 30ARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ARTURO J. GARCIA and ARTURO H. GARCIA

Application 08/472,876

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An examination of the file reveals that a supplemental Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed February 6, 2001 (Paper No. 38). It is not apparent from the record whether the examiner considered the statement submitted or notified appellants of why their submission did not meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98. A communication notifying appellants of the Primary Examiner's decision is required.

Appeal No. 2002-0231 Application 08/472,876

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner for consideration of the IDS, appropriate notification to appellants, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

By:

DALE SHAM

Program and Resource Administrator

(703) 308-9797

DS:psb

cc:

Stevens & Showalter LLP 7019 Corporate Way Dayton, OH 45459